Remarks/Arguments

The undersigned wishes to thank the Examiner for the telephone conference of August 16, 2005. This Supplementary Amendment is submitted to comply with the request of the Examiner.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Examiner's statement during the telephone conference that the obviousness-type double patenting rejection and objection to abstract, which were raised in the office action dated April 8, 2005, have been overcome. It is requested that the rejection and objection now be withdrawn.

During the telephone conference, the Examiner requested that claim 2 be amended to repeat the proviso recited in claim 1, that "L does not represent a bond when X represents $NHCOR^1$, $NHCO_2R^1$ or $NHSO_2R^1$." In response, claim 2 has been amended as requested.

In view of the action taken, it is believed all pending claims 1-9 are now in condition for allowance.

Favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By _____

John C. Todaro, Reg. No. 36,036
Attorney for Applicants

MERCK & CO., Inc.
P.O. Box 2000
Rahway, New Jersey 07065
Tel.: (732) 594-0125

Date: August 18, 2005